

I am alarmed and dismayed by the changes being proposed in this docket. The name is misleading, I don't consider allowing any one company to hold between 35% and 50% of combined media source to be a "limitation" of ownership. The very title of this docket belies the Orwellian nightmare that I fear this country is heading into.

I can only beg this organization to set aside rhetoric and apply some heartfelt rationality.

If we do not truly *limit* ownership to something like 5% or 10% of all media sources to any

individual conglomerates, how can we have diversity of opinion that is not controlled

by underlying large corporate interest? It is too much to ask that there be at least 10 fundamental

"opinions" available to American people, when you are talking about the control of all media sources?

I think this country is diverse enough to support that many ideas. Numerous articles have pointed out how

American public opinion was swayed and less informed than those of our European counterparts regarding

the Iraq war - for just these reasons - and that is with current more restrictive limitations in place!

Allowing 2, 3 or 4 large companies to control all the media in our nation is a recipe for "1984" -

and this is not democracy. Our democracy is dependent on the ability to speak the truth, unrestricted

by the dictates of one or two or three large corporations beholden to specific economic ties, and with

so much power and monetary assets that they can control our governmental leaders - and woo them with

media presentations that only present their side of the story.